



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/736,248	12/15/2003	Shailesh B. Gandhi	BOC9-2003-0064 (433)	4659
40987 AKERMAN S	7590 08/02/200 ⁷ ENTERFITT	EXAMINER		
P. O. BOX 318		MCFADDEN, SUSAN IRIS		
WEST PALM BEACH, FL 33402-3188		8	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			2626	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			08/02/2007	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)			
	10/736,248	GANDHI ET AL.			
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit			
	Susan McFadden	2626			
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address			
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DA - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period w - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tim rill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDONE	N. nely filed the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
Status					
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 15 De	ecember 2003.				
· <u> </u>	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is				
closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.					
Disposition of Claims		,			
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-22</u> is/are pending in the application.					
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.					
5)☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed.					
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-22</u> is/are rejected.					
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.					
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.					
Application Papers					
9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.					
10)⊠ The drawing(s) filed on <u>15 December 2003</u> is/are: a)⊠ accepted or b)□ objected to by the Examiner.					
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).					
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).					
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.					
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119					
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of:					
1. Certified copies of the priority documents	s have been received.				
2. Certified copies of the priority documents	s have been received in Application	on No			
3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage					
application from the International Bureau	application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).				
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.					
	•	,			
Attachment(s)					
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 4) Interview Summary (PTO-413)					
Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) Paper No(s)/Mail Date					
Motice of Informal Patent Application					
Patent and Trademark Office					

Application/Control Number: 10/736,248

Art Unit: 2626

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 101

1. 35 U.S.C. 101 reads as follows:

Whoever invents or discovers any new and useful process, machine, manufacture, or composition of matter, or any new and useful improvement thereof, may obtain a patent therefor, subject to the conditions and requirements of this title.

2. Claims 1 and 12 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 101 because the claimed invention is directed to non-statutory subject matter. The claims are directed to an algorithm, per se, or program performing such or medium resulting from such. Claims to processes that do nothing more than solve mathematical problems or manipulate abstract ideas or concepts are non-statutory. If the "acts" of a claimed process manipulate only numbers, abstract concepts or ideas, or signals representing any of the foregoing, the acts are not being applied to appropriate subject matter. Schrader, 22 F.3d at 294-95,30 USPQZd at 1458-59. Thus, a process consisting solely of mathematical operations without some claimed practical applications drawn to non-statutory subject matter. In this case, the claims merely recite a step of "... identifying the sound signal...", without any practical application being recited.

The features of the invention that would render the claimed subject matter statutory if recited in the claim is to include data input to the system and how it is measured and converted to the desired data. This would place the claims into a so-called "safe harbor" by requiring a physical act outside a computer (the physical input of speech and subsequent change of physical attributes thereof).

Another option would be to add limitations that indicate the practical use of the resultant data in an overall system.

For the claimed process to be statutory, the claim must either: (A) result in a physical transformation outside the computer for which a practical application is either

Application/Control Number: 10/736,248

Art Unit: 2626

disclosed in the specification or would have been known to a skilled artisan (precomputer or post-computer process activity), or (B) be limited to a practical application.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

1. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- 2. Claims 1-7,9,10,12-18, and 20-21 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Dildy (6,263,311), cited by Applicant.

In regard to claims 1,2,12, and 13, Dildy shows in Figure 2, a system, computer readable medium, and method for monitoring a security event comprising: receiving a sound signal within the speech recognition engine (item 220, Fig. 1, item 140), determining at least one attribute (item 230), comparing the attribute with an acoustic model associated with a security event (item 240), identifying the sound signal as the security event according to said comparing step (item 250), and notifying a user over a specified channel about the security event (item 260).

In regard to claims 3-7 and 14-18, Dildy inherently show notifying the user of a system failure by sending a message describing the detected security event over a specified communications channel, which can include sending a recording of the sound signal with the message, and that the communication channel is an Internet communication channel or a wireless communication channel and a telephony channel. (col. 7, In 50-67).

Application/Control Number: 10/736,248

Art Unit: 2626

In regard to claims 9-10 and 20-21, Dildy show that said receiving step comprising detecting an acoustic sound through a transducer communicatively linked to the speech recognition engine, wherein said sound signal specifies a sound of an alarm. (Fig. 1, item 110A),

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 3. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 4. Claims 8,11,19, and 22 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Dildy in view of Klein et al. (6,064,303).

In regard to claims 8 and 19, Dildy shows the system and method discussed above. Dildy do not specifically show that a personal computer is used. Klein et al. show a personal computer based home security system (Abstract). Therefore, it would be obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to add this feature because it provides an inexpensive, unobtrusive device for monitoring home security (col. 1, In 30-35).

In regard to claims 11 and 22, Dildy shows the system and method discussed above where voices are used. Dildy do not specifically show that the sound signal specifies a sound of glass breaking, a person walking, or an animal noise. Klein et al. show a personal computer based home security system that can detect breaking glass or environmental noises (col. 4-5). Therefore, it would be obvious to one of ordinary

Art Unit: 2626

skill in the art to add this feature because it provides more sound recognition noises that will make the security system more efficient (col. 1).

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Susan McFadden whose telephone number is 571-272-7621. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Friday, 9:30-6:00.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Richemond Dorvil can be reached on 571-272-7602. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

Susan McFadden Primary Examiner Art Unit 2626

July 25, 2007